

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Family Name</b>	Roberts
<b>Given Name</b>	Jeffrey
<b>Person ID</b>	1286437
<b>Title</b>	Stakeholder Submission
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Family Name</b>	Roberts
<b>Given Name</b>	Jeffrey
<b>Person ID</b>	1286437
<b>Title</b>	JPA 19: Bamford / Norden
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	<p>You are removing public accessible Green Belt land which is protected by national policy.</p> <p>You are increasing carbon emissions from the increased traffic which will result .</p> <p>You do not seem to be considering brownfield sites, many of which are available nearby</p> <p>You have no plans to increase the already overburdened infrastructure of schools,doctors dentists,shops community centers etc</p>
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	There are none that I see feasible